UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,739	02/09/2004	Dean A. Schaefer	1001.1386102	9215
28075 7590 02/13/2009 CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC 1221 NICOLLET AVENUE SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			EXAMINER	
			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/774,739	SCHAEFER ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
QUYNH-NHU H. VU	3763	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 22 January 2009.
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant itef in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ible.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 16-20, 22- Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>QUYNH-NHU H. VU</u> .	(3 <u>)Tatyana Zalukaeva</u> .
(2) <u>Nichiolas D. Lucchesi</u> .	(4)
/Quynh-Nhu H. Vu/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763	/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761